

Goals

- A simple intuitive model of generic object-oriented (OOP) type systems that includes Java wildcards, F-bounded generics, and erasure — and *avoids explicit use of existential types*.
- Existential types naturally arise in functional programming but do not match well with object-oriented inheritance and subtyping.
- Provide a basis for better compiler diagnostics (no mystic "capture of ..." compiler error messages).
- Accommodate a first-class approach to generics, where parametric type info is available at runtime.

Code Examples

```
class Real extends Num
class Integer extends Real
class PosInt extends Integer
List<Integer> li
li instanceof List<? extends Num> // yes
li instanceof List<? super Num> // no
List<? extends Vector<? extends Num>> // nest
```

Wildcard Types (Variance Annot.)

```
List<Integer-Num> lin
List<PosInt-Num> lpi
List<Integer> li
lpi instanceof List<Null-Num> // yes
lpi instanceof List<Integer-Num> // no
lin := li // yes li := lin // no
List<Null-Vector<Null-Num>> // nest
```

Interval Types (Hypothetical)

```
// Default Type Arguments (DTAs)
class C<T=Object>
// default type arg. is Object

class G<T=Integer>
// default type arg. is Integer

// Erased type, where class name
// is used as type name
C c // type of c is C<Object>
G g // type of g is G<Integer>

// If unspecified, default default
// type arg. is upper bound (Java)
```

Erasure and DTAs (Hypothetical)

```
class C<T> // T ranges over all types
class D<T extends C<T>> // T is F-bounded; ranges over C-subtypes (C-coalgebras/C-smalls)
class E<T extends E<T>> // E=Enum. T ranges over E-subtypes (E-coalgebras/E-small types)
class E<T super C<T>> // Hypoth. T ranges over C-supertypes (C-algebras/C-big types)
```

F-bounded Generics (F-subtypes and F-supertypes)

Constructing Subtyping from Subclassing (and Containment)

A **class** is a member of set **C**.
 A **generic class** in $G \subseteq C$ is a class having a type parameter.
 An (admittable, ground) **type** in set **T** is a non-generic class or a generic class parameterized by an interval.
 A (type) **interval** in set **I** is a pair of \leq -ordered types.

$$T = C \times_G \Delta(T)$$




Interval-based Subtyping Rules (Core)

$$\frac{Null \leq Object}{\perp(Null_t) <: T(Object_t)} Subt_0$$

$$\frac{C \leq D \quad I \subseteq J}{C \langle I \rangle <: D \langle J \rangle} Subt_{GG}$$

$$\frac{T_l <: S_l \quad S_u <: T_u}{[S_l - S_u] \subseteq [T_l - T_u]} Subint/Cont$$


(Ground=No tvars. Rule $Subt_{GG}$ assumes `class C<T> extends D<T>`)



Partial Products and Intervals. Erasure, Free Types, and the Erasure Galois Connection (EGC)

- The partial product operator (\times) is used to model that *only generic classes* are paired with type arguments to construct new types but all types, incl. *non-generic* ones, share in the subtyping relation.
- Operators Δ and \uparrow ('triangle/wildcards' & 'intervals') construct intervals in Hasse diagram (a directed acyclic graph) of input subtyping relation. Intervals of a directed graph are *paths* modulo endpoints.
 - Operator Δ requires T (i.e., `Object`, or `O`) as an upper bound or \perp (i.e., `Null`, or `N`) as a lower bound of the constructed interval; operator \uparrow only requires the lower bound to be a subtype of the upper bound (i.e., \uparrow is strictly more general than Δ).
- The **erasure** $E(PT)$ of a parameterized type PT maps type PT to the class used to construct the type (e.g., $E(List<Integer>)=List$).
- The **free type** $FT(C)$ corresponding to a generic class C is the parameterized type representing the most general instantiation of class C (e.g., $FT(List)=List<?>$).
- Erasure and free types define a Galois connection (EGC) between subclassing and subtyping (i.e., are adjoints of an adjunction):
 - for all type arguments T , classes C, D , $C \leq D \Leftrightarrow C \langle T \rangle <: D \langle ? \rangle$ (C is the erasure of $C \langle T \rangle$; $D \langle ? \rangle$ is the free type of D)
 - which expresses a fundamental property of generic OOP, namely, that **inheritance is the only basis of subtyping** in generic OOP.
- The composition $FT \circ E$ maps a parameterized type to its corresponding free type, while the composition $E \circ FT$ maps a class to itself.

Illustrating Partial Graph/Poset Products, and Function-bounded Real Functions



F-sub/supertypes, Cofree Types, and Doubly F-bounded Generics (DFBG)

- The two compositions $FT \circ E$ and $E \circ FT$ define two self-maps (a.k.a., endomaps) over subtyping and over subclassing/inheritance, resp. The two endomaps are *closure operators*.
- | Preorders & Posets | (Thin) Categories |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Closure Operator | Monad |
| Pre-Fixed Point (Inductive Object) | Algebra |
| Post-Fixed Point (Coinductive Object) | Coalgebra |
| Least Pre-FP/Greatest Post-FP | Initial Algebra/Final Coalgebra |
- For a generic class C , its **F-subtypes** or **C-subtypes** (types T in T where $T <: C \langle T \rangle$) are C-coinductive types (or, C-coalgebras); its **F-supertypes** or **C-supertypes** (types T in T where $C \langle T \rangle <: T$) are C-inductive types (or, C-algebras).
 - Free types are the greatest F-subtypes. **Cofree types** (e.g., $C \langle ! \rangle$; useful as l-bounds in DFBG) are the least F-supertypes.
 - Real functions—i.e., ones from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} —with *function-bounded domains* (e.g., the function $\cos(x \leq \cos(x))$) inspire our modeling of F-bounded and doubly F-bounded generics (DFBG).
 - A **doubly F-bounded** type variable in DFBG ranges over types that are F-subtypes of the erasure of its upper bound and that are F-supertypes of the erasure of its lower bound.
 - DFBG motivates defining **admittible** versus **valid** entities: type args, types (e.g., `Enum<Object>`) and subtype relations.

More

- Future work:
 - Include type variables (tvvars) in the subtyping rules.
 - Define a \times -like operator to model general inheritance declarations (e.g., `class C<T> extends D<F<T>>`).
 - Model multiple type params (multi-ary generic classes).
- Mutual Coinduction: For modeling mutual generic classes, circular or infinitely-justified subtyping relations, mutually-dependent type params, and the mutual dependency between subtyping and containment.
 - (Infinite) mutual coinduction useful in modeling quantum phenomena, e.g., superposition or entanglement?
- Java Subtyping Operad (JSO): Models the construction of the *self-similar* subtyping relation between ground generic types in Java.
- References: See http://arxiv.org/a/abdelgawad_m_1