"Linear System Theory and Design", Chapter 8 State Feedback and State Estimators

http://zitompul.wordpress.com

Homework 5

A state-space equation of a third-order system is given as:

$$
\dot{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u(t)
$$

$$
\mathbf{y}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -6 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t)
$$

- a. Perform a step-by-step transformation of the given model to Frobenius Form.
- b. Calculate the required feedback gain *k* so that the system may have two conjugate poles at –2±*j*1 and –4.

s

- a. Transformation to Frobenius Form.
	- Find the characteristic equation $a(s) = \det(sI - A) = \det \begin{vmatrix} s+1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s+2 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$ $0 \t 0 \t s+3$ *s s* = det $\begin{bmatrix} s+1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s+2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s+3 \end{bmatrix}$

$$
= (s+1)(s+2)(s+3)
$$

= $s^3 + 6s^2 + 11s + 6$

• Calculate *<u>C</u>*, *T*

$$
\underline{\mathcal{C}} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\mathbf{b}} & \underline{\mathbf{A}} \underline{\mathbf{b}} & \underline{\mathbf{A}}^2 \underline{\mathbf{b}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 & 4 \\ 1 & -3 & 9 \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
\underline{\mathcal{I}} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & 1 \\ a_2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 11 & 6 & 1 \\ 6 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

• Calculate **Q**
$$
Q = QJ = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 & 4 \\ 1 & -3 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 11 & 6 & 1 \\ 6 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 5 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

 $Q^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & -1 & 0.5 \\ -0.5 & 2 & -1.5 \\ 0.5 & -4 & 4.5 \end{bmatrix}$

• Perform transformation

rmation
\n
$$
\hat{\underline{A}} = \underline{Q}^{-1} \underline{A} \underline{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -6 & -11 & -6 \end{bmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\underline{b}} = \underline{Q}^{-1} \underline{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$
\n**Transformation accomplished**

b. Finding *k*.

• Calculate *k* **^**

$$
\overline{a}(s) = (s+2-j) \cdot (s+2+j) \cdot (s+4)
$$
\n
$$
\overline{a}(s) = s^3 + 8s^2 + 21s + 20
$$
\n
$$
a(s) = s^3 + 6s^2 + 11s + 6
$$
\n
$$
\hat{k}_1 = \overline{a}_0 - a_0 = 14
$$
\n
$$
\hat{k}_2 = \overline{a}_1 - a_1 = 10
$$
\n
$$
\hat{k}_3 = \overline{a}_2 - a_2 = 2
$$

• Calculate *k* $\boldsymbol{k} = \hat{\boldsymbol{k}}\boldsymbol{Q}^{-1}$ $\begin{vmatrix} 14 & 10 & 2 \end{vmatrix}$ 0.5 -1 0.5 $\begin{bmatrix} 14 & 10 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & -1 & 0.5 \\ -0.5 & 2 & -1.5 \end{bmatrix}$ -0.5 2 -1.5
0.5 -4 4.5 $\begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & -1 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & -1 & 0.5 \\ -0.5 & 2 & -1.5 \end{bmatrix}$ $=[14 \quad 10 \quad 2]\begin{vmatrix} 0.5 & -1 & 0.5 \\ -0.5 & 2 & -1.5 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 \end{vmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} -0.5 & 2 & -1.5 \\ 0.5 & -4 & 4.5 \end{bmatrix}$ $=$ [3 -2 1]

c. Direct calculation of *k* without transformation.

$$
a(s) = \det(s\underline{I} - (\underline{A} - \underline{bk}))
$$

$$
= det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} [k_1 \quad k_2 \quad k_3] \end{pmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
= det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} -(1+k_1) & -k_2 & -k_3 \\ -k_1 & -(2+k_2) & -k_3 \\ -k_1 & -k_2 & -(3+k_3) \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
= det \begin{bmatrix} s + (1+k_1) & k_2 & k_3 \\ k_1 & s + (2+k_2) & k_3 \\ k_1 & k_2 & s + (3+k_3) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \text{complicated to}
$$

Output Feedback

■ Consider the *n*-dimensional *controllable* single-variable state space equations:

 $\dot{x}(t) = \underline{A}x(t) + \underline{b}u(t)$
 $y(t) = c x(t)$

- **Main idea**: Using measurement of output variable *y*(*t*), determine an input *u*(*t*)=*f*(*r*(*t*),*y*(*t*)) such that the dynamic properties of the system can be changed to fulfill a certain criteria.
- In contrast to state feedback, output feedback has less degree of freedom in the controller parameter.
- However, the output feedback method is superior to the state feedback method from the practical point of view, because the output *y*(*t*) is known and measurable.
- On the contrary, it is almost always difficult, if not impossible, to measure the entire state vector $\vec{x}(t)$ due to practical limitations. (This can be encompassed by using state observer which will be discussed later.)

Output Feedback

■ The output *y*(*t*) is fed back through a feedback gain *j*. The input $u(t)$ is given by:

$$
u(t) = r(t) - jy(t)
$$

$$
= r(t) - j\underline{c}\underline{x}(t)
$$

■ By inspection the state feedback gain **and output feedback gain** *j* are actually related via the following equation:

$$
\underline{k} \Leftrightarrow j\underline{c}
$$

Output Feedback

 $\dot{x}(t) = (A - ibc)x(t) + br(t)$ ■ Substituting $u(t)$ to the original state space equations, $y(t) = c x(t)$

 \blacksquare The roots of the characteristic equation can now be repositioned through

 $a(s) = \det(sI - (A - jbc))$

■ The output feedback has less degree of freedom in placing the poles, thus it may happen that the location to place the poles is limited.

Let us redo the previous example with the following state equations: $1 \quad 3 \quad 10$ $(t)=\left| \begin{array}{cc} x(t)+1 \end{array} \right| u(t)$ 3 1 \vert 1 \vert 1 $t = |x(t)| + |u(t)|$ $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $\dot{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \frac{x(t)}{1} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}$

The characteristic equation of the given system is:

y(t) =
$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \underline{x}(t)
$$

\nl
\ncharacteristic equation of the given sy:
\n $a(s) = \det(s\underline{I} - \underline{A})$
\n $= (s-4)(s+2)$ **• Unstable eigenvalues
\nor unstable pole**
\n $\text{oballowing the output feedback,}$
\n $u(t) = r(t) - jy(t) = r(t) - j\underline{b}c\underline{x}(t)$
\nstate space is now:
\n $\dot{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix} r(t)$

Introducing the output feedback, $u(t) = r(t) - jy(t) = r(t) - jbcx(t)$

The state space is now:

$$
\underline{\dot{\mathbf{x}}}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 - j & 1 - j \end{bmatrix} \underline{\mathbf{x}}(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} r(t)
$$

The characteristic equation becomes:

$$
a(s) = det \begin{bmatrix} s-1 & -3 \\ -(3-j) & s-(1-j) \end{bmatrix}
$$

= $(s-1)(s-(1-j))-(3-j)(3)$
= $s^2 + (j-2)s + (2j-8)$

- **The roots of the new characteristic equation can be moved to a new stable position.**
- **But, the value of the stable poles cannot be freely chosen.**

• **Matlab Simulink realization**

• **Output of original system** • **Output of system with**

output feedback, *j* = **5**

• **Output of system with output feedback,** *j* = **4**

• **Output of system with output feedback,** *j* = **6**

 $10₁$